

EXHIBIT K

DEFENDANTS' AFFIRMATIVE DEPOSITION DESIGNATIONS FOR MICHAEL MAPES					
DEPO DATE	DESIGNATION TYPE	DEFENDANTS' AFFIRMATIVE DESIGNATIONS			
		Begin Page at	Begin Line at	End Page at	End Line at
7/11/2019	All Def Affirm	17	24	17	25
7/11/2019	McKesson Affirm	35	4	35	24
7/11/2019	CAH Affirm	35	4	35	24
7/11/2019	ABDC Affirm	35	4	35	24
7/11/2019	McKesson Affirm	36	9	36	13
7/11/2019	ABDC Affirm	36	9	36	13
7/11/2019	CAH Affirm	36	9	38	8
7/11/2019	McKesson Affirm	36	19	38	8
7/11/2019	ABDC Affirm	36	19	38	8
7/11/2019	CAH Affirm	39	16	41	1
7/11/2019	ABDC Affirm	39	16	41	1
7/11/2019	Manu Affirm	39	21	40	18
7/11/2019	McKesson Affirm	40	12	41	8
7/11/2019	All Def Affirm	41	12	44	1
7/11/2019	All Def Affirm	44	4	44	20
7/11/2019	All Def Affirm	44	21	47	6
7/11/2019	All Def Affirm	47	5	48	2
7/11/2019	All Def Affirm	48	4	50	19
7/11/2019	All Def Affirm	50	20	50	22
7/11/2019	All Def Affirm	50	23	51	2
7/11/2019	All Def Affirm	51	7	51	10
7/11/2019	All Def Affirm	51	11	51	12
7/11/2019	All Def Affirm	51	13	51	23
7/11/2019	All Def Affirm	51	25	52	2
7/11/2019	All Def Affirm	52	4	52	9
7/11/2019	All Def Affirm	52	10	52	23
7/11/2019	All Def Affirm	52	24	53	15
7/11/2019	All Def Affirm	53	17	53	17
7/11/2019	All Def Affirm	53	19	54	4
7/11/2019	All Def Affirm	54	5	54	15
7/11/2019	All Def Affirm	54	16	57	8
7/11/2019	All Def Affirm	57	16	57	24
7/11/2019	All Def Affirm	58	17	59	21
7/11/2019	All Def Affirm	60	3	60	24
7/11/2019	All Def Affirm	61	21	63	20
7/11/2019	All Def Affirm	64	2	64	18
7/11/2019	All Def Affirm	64	19	68	7
7/11/2019	All Def Affirm	72	1	72	3
7/11/2019	All Def Affirm	72	10	73	4
7/11/2019	All Def Affirm	73	8	73	10
7/11/2019	All Def Affirm	73	13	73	14
7/11/2019	All Def Affirm	73	22	74	1
7/11/2019	All Def Affirm	74	4	74	8
7/11/2019	Dist Affirm	75	15	75	24
7/11/2019	Dist Affirm	76	2	77	5
7/11/2019	Dist Affirm	77	7	77	12

DEFENDANTS' AFFIRMATIVE DEPOSITION DESIGNATIONS FOR MICHAEL MAPES					
DEPO DATE	DESIGNATION TYPE	DEFENDANTS' AFFIRMATIVE DESIGNATIONS			
		Begin Page at	Begin Line at	End Page at	End Line at
7/11/2019	Dist Affirm	77	13	77	17
7/11/2019	Dist Affirm	77	19	79	2
7/11/2019	All Def Affirm	79	3	79	19
7/11/2019	All Def Affirm	79	20	80	16
7/11/2019	All Def Affirm	80	18	80	22
7/11/2019	All Def Affirm	80	24	81	4
7/11/2019	All Def Affirm	81	6	82	1
7/11/2019	All Def Affirm	82	2	82	4
7/11/2019	All Def Affirm	82	6	82	15
7/11/2019	All Def Affirm	82	17	82	21
7/11/2019	Dist Affirm	82	22	83	4
7/11/2019	Dist Affirm	83	6	83	8
7/11/2019	Dist Affirm	83	12	83	20
7/11/2019	All Def Affirm	83	21	84	6
7/11/2019	All Def Affirm	84	12	84	16
7/11/2019	All Def Affirm	85	5	85	8
7/11/2019	All Def Affirm	85	11	85	11
7/11/2019	All Def Affirm	85	15	86	20
7/11/2019	All Def Affirm	86	24	86	24
7/11/2019	All Def Affirm	87	2	87	7
7/11/2019	All Def Affirm	87	13	87	23
7/11/2019	All Def Affirm	88	2	88	2
7/11/2019	All Def Affirm	88	4	88	17
7/11/2019	All Def Affirm	89	1	89	9
7/11/2019	All Def Affirm	89	21	90	10
7/11/2019	All Def Affirm	90	13	91	7
7/11/2019	All Def Affirm	91	8	91	10
7/11/2019	All Def Affirm	91	12	91	17
7/11/2019	All Def Affirm	91	18	92	15
7/11/2019	All Def Affirm	92	23	92	25
7/11/2019	All Def Affirm	93	2	93	25
7/11/2019	All Def Affirm	94	3	94	3
7/11/2019	All Def Affirm	94	22	95	2
7/11/2019	All Def Affirm	95	5	95	6
7/11/2019	All Def Affirm	95	8	95	17
7/11/2019	All Def Affirm	95	18	95	19
7/11/2019	All Def Affirm	95	24	96	11
7/11/2019	All Def Affirm	96	12	96	12
7/11/2019	All Def Affirm	96	15	96	15
7/11/2019	All Def Affirm	96	21	96	22
7/11/2019	All Def Affirm	97	9	97	10
7/11/2019	All Def Affirm	97	12	97	13
7/11/2019	All Def Affirm	97	15	97	24
7/11/2019	All Def Affirm	97	25	98	4
7/11/2019	All Def Affirm	98	7	98	22
7/11/2019	All Def Affirm	98	24	98	24

DEFENDANTS' AFFIRMATIVE DEPOSITION DESIGNATIONS FOR MICHAEL MAPES					
DEPO DATE	DESIGNATION TYPE	DEFENDANTS' AFFIRMATIVE DESIGNATIONS			
		Begin Page at	Begin Line at	End Page at	End Line at
7/11/2019	All Def Affirm	99	2	99	13
7/11/2019	ABDC Affirm	99	22	101	1
7/11/2019	ABDC Affirm	101	11	101	17
7/11/2019	Dist Affirm	101	18	103	5
7/11/2019	ABDC Affirm	103	6	103	9
7/11/2019	ABDC Affirm	103	13	103	21
7/11/2019	ABDC Affirm	103	25	104	10
7/11/2019	ABDC Affirm	105	3	105	18
7/11/2019	Dist Affirm	105	19	105	24
7/11/2019	ABDC Affirm	105	25	106	17
7/11/2019	ABDC Affirm	107	3	107	16
7/11/2019	Dist Affirm	108	2	108	7
7/11/2019	ABDC Affirm	110	16	110	21
7/11/2019	All Def Affirm	122	14	122	24
7/11/2019	All Def Affirm	125	3	125	12
7/11/2019	All Def Affirm	125	21	125	22
7/11/2019	All Def Affirm	125	24	126	3
7/11/2019	All Def Affirm	126	10	126	20
7/11/2019	All Def Affirm	126	21	126	22
7/11/2019	All Def Affirm	129	4	129	5
7/11/2019	All Def Affirm	129	15	130	2
7/11/2019	All Def Affirm	130	8	131	15
7/11/2019	Dist Affirm	131	16	131	19
7/11/2019	Dist Affirm	131	24	132	7
7/11/2019	All Def Affirm	132	9	132	19
7/11/2019	Dist Affirm	132	20	132	22
7/11/2019	Dist Affirm	133	8	133	20
7/11/2019	Dist Affirm	133	24	137	17
7/11/2019	All Def Affirm	139	3	140	1
7/11/2019	All Def Affirm	140	11	140	12
7/11/2019	Dist Affirm	141	1	141	9
7/11/2019	McKesson Affirm	141	10	141	13
7/11/2019	Dist Affirm	141	10	143	24
7/11/2019	McKesson Affirm	141	17	142	3
7/11/2019	McKesson Affirm	142	4	142	16
7/11/2019	Dist Affirm	145	1	145	6
7/11/2019	Dist Affirm	145	13	146	1
7/11/2019	Dist Affirm	146	7	146	15
7/11/2019	Dist Affirm	146	20	147	8
7/11/2019	Dist Affirm	148	21	148	23
7/11/2019	Dist Affirm	149	6	149	11
7/11/2019	Dist Affirm	149	13	149	16
7/11/2019	All Def Affirm	151	7	151	9
7/11/2019	All Def Affirm	151	16	151	22
7/11/2019	All Def Affirm	152	2	152	2
7/11/2019	All Def Affirm	152	19	152	24

DEFENDANTS' AFFIRMATIVE DEPOSITION DESIGNATIONS FOR MICHAEL MAPES					
DEPO DATE	DESIGNATION TYPE	DEFENDANTS' AFFIRMATIVE DESIGNATIONS			
		Begin Page at	Begin Line at	End Page at	End Line at
7/11/2019	All Def Affirm	153	4	153	4
7/11/2019	All Def Affirm	153	6	153	9
7/11/2019	All Def Affirm	153	15	153	15
7/11/2019	ABDC Affirm	154	8	154	11
7/11/2019	ABDC Affirm	154	13	154	25
7/11/2019	ABDC Affirm	155	10	155	24
7/11/2019	ABDC Affirm	156	2	157	21
7/11/2019	ABDC Affirm	163	2	163	7
7/11/2019	ABDC Affirm	163	11	163	19
7/11/2019	Dist Affirm	163	20	163	25
7/11/2019	Dist Affirm	164	1	164	2
7/11/2019	ABDC Affirm	164	3	164	6
7/11/2019	ABDC Affirm	165	13	166	4
7/11/2019	Dist Affirm	166	5	166	10
7/11/2019	ABDC Affirm	166	13	167	4
7/11/2019	Dist Affirm	166	13	167	24
7/11/2019	ABDC Affirm	167	7	167	24
7/11/2019	Dist Affirm	168	2	168	2
7/11/2019	ABDC Affirm	168	8	169	2
7/11/2019	ABDC Affirm	169	16	170	3
7/11/2019	ABDC Affirm	170	22	171	19
7/11/2019	Dist Affirm	171	24	172	9
7/11/2019	Dist Affirm	172	13	172	14
7/11/2019	Dist Affirm	173	9	174	21
7/11/2019	ABDC Affirm	175	2	175	6
7/11/2019	Dist Affirm	175	7	175	14
7/11/2019	Dist Affirm	177	1	177	16
7/11/2019	Dist Affirm	177	19	178	8
7/11/2019	Dist Affirm	178	11	178	16
7/11/2019	Dist Affirm	178	24	179	1
7/11/2019	Dist Affirm	179	3	179	9
7/11/2019	McKesson Affirm	180	14	180	19
7/11/2019	McKesson Affirm	181	1	181	6
7/11/2019	McKesson Affirm	181	11	181	13
7/11/2019	Dist Affirm	181	24	182	2
7/11/2019	Dist Affirm	182	9	182	23
7/11/2019	Dist Affirm	182	24	182	25
7/11/2019	McKesson Affirm	182	24	183	25
7/11/2019	Dist Affirm	183	3	184	13
7/11/2019	McKesson Affirm	184	4	184	4
7/11/2019	McKesson Affirm	184	11	184	12
7/11/2019	Dist Affirm	184	14	185	24
7/11/2019	Dist Affirm	186	2	188	18
7/11/2019	Dist Affirm	188	25	189	14
7/11/2019	Dist Affirm	190	8	190	13
7/11/2019	Dist Affirm	193	18	194	9

DEFENDANTS' AFFIRMATIVE DEPOSITION DESIGNATIONS FOR MICHAEL MAPES					
DEPO DATE	DESIGNATION TYPE	DEFENDANTS' AFFIRMATIVE DESIGNATIONS			
		Begin Page at	Begin Line at	End Page at	End Line at
7/11/2019	Dist Affirm	194	10	194	15
7/11/2019	McKesson Affirm	195	20	196	7
7/11/2019	All Def Affirm	195	20	196	7
7/11/2019	Dist Affirm	197	14	198	1
7/11/2019	Dist Affirm	198	8	198	12
7/11/2019	Dist Affirm	198	14	199	3
7/11/2019	All Def Affirm	200	14	200	22
7/11/2019	All Def Affirm	201	8	201	25
7/11/2019	All Def Affirm	202	9	202	17
7/11/2019	All Def Affirm	202	22	204	4
7/11/2019	All Def Affirm	204	17	205	11
7/11/2019	All Def Affirm	206	8	206	14
7/11/2019	All Def Affirm	206	15	207	5
7/11/2019	All Def Affirm	208	2	208	10
7/11/2019	Dist Affirm	208	11	208	11
7/11/2019	Manu Affirm	208	11	208	11
7/11/2019	Dist Affirm	208	15	208	22
7/11/2019	Manu Affirm	208	15	208	22
7/11/2019	Dist Affirm	208	25	209	5
7/11/2019	Manu Affirm	208	25	209	5
7/11/2019	All Def Affirm	209	7	209	9
7/11/2019	All Def Affirm	209	12	209	20
7/11/2019	All Def Affirm	209	23	209	25
7/11/2019	All Def Affirm	210	2	210	3
7/11/2019	All Def Affirm	210	10	210	16
7/11/2019	All Def Affirm	211	14	212	18
7/11/2019	McKesson Affirm	214	10	214	12
7/11/2019	CAH Affirm	214	10	214	12
7/11/2019	ABDC Affirm	214	10	214	12
7/11/2019	McKesson Affirm	214	16	214	17
7/11/2019	CAH Affirm	214	16	214	17
7/11/2019	ABDC Affirm	214	16	214	17
7/11/2019	Dist Affirm	215	5	215	8
7/11/2019	Dist Affirm	215	10	215	10
7/11/2019	Dist Affirm	215	12	215	21
7/11/2019	Dist Affirm	215	24	216	2
7/11/2019	Dist Affirm	216	4	216	9
7/11/2019	McKesson Affirm	216	10	216	14
7/11/2019	McKesson Affirm	216	20	217	1
7/11/2019	Dist Affirm	217	25	218	10
7/11/2019	Dist Affirm	218	13	218	20
7/11/2019	Dist Affirm	218	23	218	23
7/11/2019	McKesson Affirm	219	22	220	2
7/11/2019	ABDC Affirm	219	22	220	5
7/11/2019	CAH Affirm	219	24	220	5
7/11/2019	CAH Affirm	220	8	220	10

DEFENDANTS' AFFIRMATIVE DEPOSITION DESIGNATIONS FOR MICHAEL MAPES					
DEPO DATE	DESIGNATION TYPE	DEFENDANTS' AFFIRMATIVE DESIGNATIONS			
		Begin Page at	Begin Line at	End Page at	End Line at
7/11/2019	ABDC Affirm	220	8	221	17
7/11/2019	CAH Affirm	220	14	220	19
7/11/2019	McKesson Affirm	220	14	221	17
7/11/2019	ABDC Affirm	221	20	221	21
7/11/2019	McKesson Affirm	221	20	221	21
7/11/2019	All Def Affirm	221	25	222	6
7/11/2019	All Def Affirm	223	14	224	11
7/11/2019	All Def Affirm	224	21	224	24
7/11/2019	All Def Affirm	225	2	225	2
7/11/2019	All Def Affirm	225	4	225	21
7/11/2019	All Def Affirm	225	24	226	4
7/11/2019	Dist Affirm	226	5	226	8
7/11/2019	Dist Affirm	226	12	226	12
7/11/2019	All Def Affirm	226	14	227	2
7/11/2019	All Def Affirm	227	3	228	2
7/11/2019	Dist Affirm	228	13	229	1
7/11/2019	Pharm Affirm	228	13	229	1
7/11/2019	All Def Affirm	229	17	229	21
7/11/2019	Dist Affirm	232	14	232	20
7/11/2019	Dist Affirm	235	21	235	25
7/11/2019	Dist Affirm	236	3	236	4
7/11/2019	All Def Affirm	236	6	238	12
7/11/2019	All Def Affirm	238	19	239	9
7/11/2019	All Def Affirm	239	14	239	16
7/11/2019	All Def Affirm	239	24	240	9
7/11/2019	All Def Affirm	240	13	240	16
7/11/2019	All Def Affirm	240	18	240	25
7/11/2019	All Def Affirm	241	4	241	8
7/11/2019	Pharm Affirm	241	19	243	3
7/11/2019	Dist Affirm	244	13	245	16
7/11/2019	Walmart Affirm	245	23	246	1
7/11/2019	All Def Affirm	246	16	247	14
7/11/2019	All Def Affirm	247	15	247	17
7/11/2019	All Def Affirm	248	1	248	15
7/11/2019	All Def Affirm	265	10	265	24
7/11/2019	All Def Affirm	266	4	266	10
7/11/2019	All Def Affirm	266	13	266	17
7/11/2019	All Def Affirm	266	18	266	20
7/11/2019	All Def Affirm	267	2	267	4
7/11/2019	All Def Affirm	267	7	267	7
7/11/2019	All Def Affirm	267	18	267	21
7/11/2019	All Def Affirm	268	1	268	6
7/11/2019	All Def Affirm	268	8	268	19
7/11/2019	All Def Affirm	270	8	270	13
7/11/2019	All Def Affirm	270	17	270	18
7/11/2019	All Def Affirm	271	19	271	24

DEFENDANTS' AFFIRMATIVE DEPOSITION DESIGNATIONS FOR MICHAEL MAPES					
DEPO DATE	DESIGNATION TYPE	DEFENDANTS' AFFIRMATIVE DESIGNATIONS			
		Begin Page at	Begin Line at	End Page at	End Line at
7/11/2019	All Def Affirm	272	5	272	5
7/11/2019	All Def Affirm	272	13	272	17
7/11/2019	All Def Affirm	272	18	272	21
7/11/2019	All Def Affirm	273	2	273	3
7/11/2019	All Def Affirm	274	21	274	25
7/11/2019	All Def Affirm	275	5	275	5
7/11/2019	All Def Affirm	275	16	275	20
7/11/2019	All Def Affirm	275	25	275	25
7/11/2019	All Def Affirm	276	1	276	7
7/11/2019	All Def Affirm	276	22	276	22
7/11/2019	All Def Affirm	277	24	278	7
7/11/2019	All Def Affirm	278	11	278	11
7/11/2019	McKesson Affirm	280	13	280	18
7/11/2019	McKesson Affirm	281	1	281	1
7/11/2019	All Def Affirm	281	3	281	10
7/11/2019	All Def Affirm	281	13	281	13
7/11/2019	All Def Affirm	282	25	283	3
7/11/2019	All Def Affirm	283	7	283	7
7/11/2019	All Def Affirm	283	9	283	13
7/11/2019	All Def Affirm	283	18	283	18
7/11/2019	McKesson Affirm	283	20	283	23
7/11/2019	McKesson Affirm	284	3	284	3
7/11/2019	McKesson Affirm	285	1	285	8
7/11/2019	McKesson Affirm	285	11	285	11
7/11/2019	All Def Affirm	285	13	285	22
7/11/2019	All Def Affirm	286	1	286	1
7/11/2019	All Def Affirm	291	8	291	14
7/11/2019	All Def Affirm	291	18	291	18
7/11/2019	All Def Affirm	292	1	292	4
7/11/2019	All Def Affirm	292	7	292	11
7/11/2019	All Def Affirm	292	15	292	20
7/11/2019	All Def Affirm	292	23	292	23
7/11/2019	McKesson Affirm	292	25	293	7
7/11/2019	McKesson Affirm	293	12	293	12
7/11/2019	McKesson Affirm	293	14	293	22
7/11/2019	McKesson Affirm	294	2	294	2
7/11/2019	Pharm Affirm	304	22	305	4
7/12/2019	Manu & Dist Affirm	332	25	333	9
7/12/2019	Manu & Dist Affirm	332	25	333	9
7/12/2019	Manu & Dist Affirm	333	16	333	18
7/12/2019	Manu & Dist Affirm	333	16	333	18
7/12/2019	ABDC Affirm	448	24	449	4
7/12/2019	ABDC Affirm	449	8	449	19
7/12/2019	ABDC Affirm	449	21	450	20
7/12/2019	ABDC Affirm	450	22	451	1
7/12/2019	Dist Affirm	511	4	511	18

DEFENDANTS' AFFIRMATIVE DEPOSITION DESIGNATIONS FOR MICHAEL MAPES					
DEPO DATE	DESIGNATION TYPE	DEFENDANTS' AFFIRMATIVE DESIGNATIONS			
		Begin Page at	Begin Line at	End Page at	End Line at
7/12/2019	Dist Affirm	512	14	512	17
7/12/2019	Dist Affirm	512	23	514	3
7/12/2019	Dist Affirm	514	10	514	18
7/12/2019	Dist Affirm	517	24	518	1
7/12/2019	Manu Affirm	518	2	518	9
7/12/2019	Dist Affirm	518	2	519	3
7/12/2019	Manu Affirm	518	10	519	3
7/12/2019	Dist Affirm	520	14	520	20
7/12/2019	Dist Affirm	521	7	521	9
7/12/2019	Dist Affirm	521	15	521	18
7/12/2019	Manu & Dist Affirm	525	18	525	20
7/12/2019	Manu & Dist Affirm	525	18	525	20
7/12/2019	Manu & Dist Affirm	526	2	526	4
7/12/2019	Manu & Dist Affirm	526	2	528	4
7/12/2019	Manu & Dist Affirm	528	14	528	20
7/12/2019	Manu & Dist Affirm	528	14	528	20
7/12/2019	Manu & Dist Affirm	529	2	529	10
7/12/2019	Manu & Dist Affirm	529	16	529	17
7/12/2019	Dist Affirm	529	18	529	20
7/12/2019	Dist Affirm	530	5	530	11
7/12/2019	Manu & Dist Affirm	530	13	530	17
7/12/2019	ABDC Affirm	538	22	539	3
7/12/2019	ABDC Affirm	539	5	539	12
7/12/2019	ABDC Affirm	539	14	539	16
7/12/2019	ABDC Affirm	539	19	540	4

PLAINTIFFS' OBJECTIONS TO DEFENDANTS' DESIGNATIONS OF MICHAEL MAPES				
Start Page	Start Line	End Page	End Line	Objections
17	24	17	24	Plaintiffs object to the extent that certain Defendant deposition designations of the DEA depositions and certain 30(b)(6) depositions are duplicative of one another.
17	25	17	25	Plaintiffs further object to any testimony by current or former DEA agents designated by the Defendants to the extent that such testimony seeks to define what the law requires or whether Defendants conduct violated or did not violate the law, as such testimony would invade the province of the jury.
17	26	17	26	Plaintiffs object to any questions that treat Mapes as a 30(b)(6) witness as beyond the scope
23	16	23	20	vague
35	4	41	11	speculative, foundation, relevance, scope
53	7	53	17	relevance, vague, scope
73	1	73	14	scope, relevance
73	22	74	8	scope, relevance
75	23	76	3	scope, relevance
77	1	77	7	speculative, vague, foundation, relevance, scope
77	13	77	24	speculative, vague, foundation, relevance, scope
80	14	84	16	speculative, improper hypothetical, vague, foundation, relevance, scope
85	5	85	6	relevance, scope
87	2	87	15	relevance, vague, incomplete hypothetical, scope
87	21	88	2	relevance, vague, scope
89	25	90	14	relevance, vague, incomplete hypothetical, scope
91	8	91	12	relevance, vague, incomplete hypothetical, scope
92	13	92	25	relevance, vague, incomplete hypothetical, scope
94	22	96	22	relevance, vague, scope
97	25	98	13	relevance, vague, scope
98	19	94	24	relevance, vague, scope

PLAINTIFFS' OBJECTIONS TO DEFENDANTS' DESIGNATIONS OF MICHAEL MAPES				
Start Page	Start Line	End Page	End Line	Objections
102	4	102	12	vague, speculation, relevance
103	6	104	4	vague, scope, speculation, relevance
125	3	135	6	vague, scope, speculation, relevance
139	22	149	12	scope, relevance
146	11	146	20	scope, foundation, vague, relevance
148	21	149	16	scope, foundation, vague, relevance
151	7	153	15	scope, foundation, vague, relevance
166	5	166	17	scope, foundation, vague, relevance
167	18	168	2	scope, foundation, vague, relevance
172	7	172	14	scope, foundation, vague, relevance
178	11	179	9	scope, foundation, vague, relevance
181	1	181	13	scope, foundation, vague, relevance
181	4	182	14	scope, foundation, vague, relevance
183	23	183	12	scope, foundation, vague, relevance
188	14	189	2	scope, foundation, vague, relevance
197	14	198	12	scope, foundation, vague, relevance
203	25	205	11	scope, foundation, vague, relevance
				scope, lack of timeframe, foundation, vague, relevance
208	11	210	16	relevance
214	10	214	17	scope, foundation, vague, relevance
215	16	216	2	cumulative, vague, relevance
216	10	217	1	scope, foundation, vague, relevance
218	7	218	23	scope, foundation, vague, relevance
219	22	219	10	scope, foundation, vague, relevance
				incomplete hypothetical, scope, foundation, vague, relevance
221	13	221	21	incomplete hypothetical, calls for expert testimony, scope, foundation, vague, relevance
				incomplete hypothetical, speculation, calls for expert testimony, scope, foundation, vague, relevance
222	16	224	24	relevance
				incomplete hypothetical, speculative, scope, argumentative, misleading
224	21	227	2	relevance, speculative, scope, argumentative, misleading
227	3	232	20	misleading
235	21	236	4	asked and answered, relevance, form
				scope, relevance, vague, incomplete hypothetical
239	2	239	16	hypothetical
				scope, relevance, vague, incomplete hypothetical
240	6	240	16	hypothetical
240	18	248	15	cumulative, scope, vague, relevance
				relevance, scope, vague, calls for legal conclusion, improper hypothetical
249	11	250	10	conclusion, improper hypothetical

PLAINTIFFS' OBJECTIONS TO DEFENDANTS' DESIGNATIONS OF MICHAEL MAPES				
Start Page	Start Line	End Page	End Line	Objections
265	10	266	20	vague, scope, foundation, speculation, relevance
267	2	268	1	vague, scope, foundation, speculation, relevance
268	14	268	19	vague, scope, foundation, speculation, relevance
276	2	276	22	vague, scope, foundation, speculation, relevance
277	24	278	11	vague, scope, foundation, speculation, relevance
280	13	281	1	vague, scope, foundation, speculation, relevance
283	9	283	18	scope, vague, calls for legal conclusion, relevance
283	20	284	3	vague, scope, foundation, relevance
324	11	324	11	Plaintiffs object to the extent that certain Defendant deposition designations of the DEA depositions and certain 30(b)(6) depositions are duplicative of one another.
324	12	324	12	Plaintiffs further object to any testimony by current or former DEA agents designated by the Defendants to the extent that such testimony seeks to define what the law requires or whether Defendants' conduct violated or did not violate the law, as such testimony would invade the province of the jury.
525	19	530	17	scope, relevance, foundation, speculative

RESPONSES FOR MICHAEL MAPES					
	Begin Page at	Begin Line at	End Page at	End Line at	NOTES
7/11/2019	17	24	17	24	Response: Testimony is not duplicative or cumulative of other designations. Mr. Mapes's specific career path gives him a different perspective on the central issues of this case than other witnesses. In addition, to the extent multiple DEA witnesses testified along similar lines on the issues central to this case, that is relevant to show that those witnesses shared a common understanding of DEA's interpretation and enforcement of the suspicious order monitoring regulation, rather than an outlier view, which is, in turn, relevant to defendants' scienter. (Hereinafter "5 - Unique perspective and common understanding")
7/11/2019	17	25	17	25	Response: Testimony is relevant to DEA's policy on suspicious order monitoring and reporting which are central issues in this case. The Court expressly held that DEA's interpretation and enforcement of the suspicious order monitoring regulation - including "whether DEA investigators view [monthly ILRs and Excessive Order Reports] as compliant with the CSA," whether DEA accepted certain defendants' reporting as compliant, whether "DEA imposed a no-ship requirement," and whether DEA changed its interpretation of the law are "material facts in dispute that must be resolved by a jury." See Order and Opinion Regarding Plaintiffs' Summary Judgment Motions Addressing the Controlled Substances Act [Dkt. #2483], at 28-29. Testimony is also relevant to scienter, an element of each of plaintiffs' claims and a requirement for punitive damages." (Hereinafter "1 - DEA understanding and practice"). In addition, questions ask for witness's personal understanding of DEA's suspicious order reporting policy and guidance, which he developed during his career at DEA. Witness testified extensively about his personal experience reviewing excessive purchase reports, providing guidance to registrants about DEA's suspicious order monitoring and reporting expectations, and approving registrant's suspicious order monitoring systems. (Hereinafter "2 - Personal experience")
7/11/2019	23	16	23	20	Response: 2 - Personal experience; and question understandable to the witness
7/11/2019	35	4	41	11	Response: 1 - DEA understanding and practice; 2 - Personal experience; Testimony is also covered by DOJ's authorization of witness's testimony regarding "Your general employment history with the DEA; "Your general duties in your various positions held in DEA"; "Your personal recollection of your communications with DEA registrants about what makes an order 'suspicious' under 21 CFR 1301.74, including communications at distributor initiative meetings and at industry conferences;" "Your personal recollection of advice you provided third-parties regarding registrants' obligation to monitor orders placed with third-party registrants, including the use of chargeback data;" "Your personal recollection regarding the nature of ARCOS and CSOS data and how registrants submitted the data to DEA historically"; "Your personal recollection of DEA's general practices and procedures relating to processing and analyzing ARCOS data;" "Your personal recollection of any information publicly disclosed by the United States regarding enforcement actions taken by DEA against any Track 1 defendant;" and "Your personal recollection regarding 'DEA's interpretation and enforcement of, and practices related to 21 USC 823 and 21 CFR 1301.74' and 'DEA's interpretation, enforcement, and practices regarding the obligation to monitor orders' to the extent covered by the foregoing authorized specific topics" (hereinafter "3 - Authorized as personal recollection); and Touhy authorization is not required for questions relating to information learned outside of witness's employment with DEA (hereinafter "4 - No authorization required").
7/11/2019	53	7	53	17	Response: 1 - DEA understanding and practice; 2 - Personal experience; 3 - Authorized; and question understandable to the witness
7/11/2019	73	1	73	14	Response: 1 - DEA understanding and practice; 3 - Authorized as personal recollection and/or 4 - No authorization required
7/11/2019	73	22	74	8	Response: 1 - DEA understanding and practice; 3 - Authorized as personal recollection
7/11/2019	75	23	76	3	Response: 1 - DEA understanding and practice; 3 - Authorized and/or 4 - No authorization required
7/11/2019	77	1	77	7	Response: 1 - DEA understanding and practice; 2 - Personal experience ; 3 - Authorized; question understandable to the witness
7/11/2019	77	13	77	24	Response: 1 - DEA understanding and practice; 2 - Personal experience ; 3 - Authorized; question understandable to the witness
7/11/2019	80	14	84	16	Response: 1 - DEA understanding and practice; 2 - Personal experience ; 3 - Authorized and/or 4 - No authorization required; question understandable to the witness
7/11/2019	85	5	85	11	Response: 1 - DEA understanding and practice; 3 - Authorized as personal recollection
7/11/2019	87	2	87	15	Response: 1 - DEA understanding and practice; 2 - Personal experience; 3 - Authorized as personal recollection; question understandable to the witness
7/11/2019	87	21	88	2	Response: 1 - DEA understanding and practice; 2 - Personal experience; 3 - Authorized; question understandable to the witness

RESPONSES FOR MICHAEL MAPES					
	Begin Page at	Begin Line at	End Page at	End Line at	NOTES
7/11/2019	89	25	90	14	Response: 1 - DEA understanding and practice; 2 - Personal experience; 3 - Authorized; question understandable to the witness
7/11/2019	91	8	91	12	Response: 1 - DEA understanding and practice; 2 - Personal experience; 3 - Authorized; question understandable to the witness
7/11/2019	92	13	92	25	Response: 1 - DEA understanding and practice; 2 - Personal experience ; 3 - Authorized as personal recollection; question understandable to the witness
7/11/2019	94	22	96	22	Response: 1 - DEA understanding and practice; 2 - Personal experience ; 3 - Authorized and/or 4 - No authorization required; question understandable to the witness
7/11/2019	97	25	98	13	Response: 1 - DEA understanding and practice; 2 - Personal experience ; 3 - Authorized; question understandable to the witness
7/11/2019	98	19	98	24	Response: 1 - DEA understanding and practice; 2 - Personal experience ; 3 - Authorized; question understandable to the witness
7/11/2019	102	4	102	12	Response: 1 - DEA understanding and practice; 2 - Personal experience ; question understandable to the witness
7/11/2019	103	6	104	7	Response: 1 - DEA understanding and practice; 2 - Personal experience ; 3 - Authorized and/or 4 - No authorization required; question understandable to the witness
7/11/2019	125	3	135	6	Response: 1 - DEA understanding and practice; 2 - Personal experience ; 3 - Authorized as personal recollection and/or 4 - No authorization required; question understandable to the witness
7/11/2019	139	22	149	12	Response: 1 - DEA understanding and practice; 3 - Authorized as personal recollection and/or 4 - No authorization required
7/11/2019	146	11	146	20	Response: 1 - DEA understanding and practice; 2 - Personal experience ; 3 - Authorized; question understandable to the witness
7/11/2019	148	21	149	16	Response: 1 - DEA understanding and practice; 2 - Personal experience ; 3 - Authorized as personal recollection; question understandable to the witness
7/11/2019	151	7	153	15	Response: 1 - DEA understanding and practice; 2 - Personal experience ; 3 - Authorized as personal recollection and/or 4 - No authorization required; question understandable to the witness
7/11/2019	166	5	166	17	Response: 1 - DEA understanding and practice; 2 - Personal experience ; 3 - Authorized; question understandable to the witness
7/11/2019	167	18	168	2	Response: 1 - DEA understanding and practice; 2 - Personal experience ; 3 - Authorized; question understandable to the witness
7/11/2019	172	7	172	14	Response: 1 - DEA understanding and practice; 2 - Personal experience ; 3 - Authorized; question understandable to the witness
7/11/2019	178	11	179	9	Response: 1 - DEA understanding and practice; 2 - Personal experience ; 3 - Authorized as personal recollection; question understandable to the witness
7/11/2019	181	1	181	13	Response: 1 - DEA understanding and practice; 2 - Personal experience ; 3 - Authorized; question understandable to the witness
7/11/2019	181	4	182	14	Response: 1 - DEA understanding and practice; 2 - Personal experience ; 3 - Authorized as personal recollection; question understandable to the witness
7/11/2019	183	23	184	12	Response: 1 - DEA understanding and practice; 2 - Personal experience ; 3 - Authorized; question understandable to the witness
7/11/2019	188	14	189	2	Response: 1 - DEA understanding and practice; 2 - Personal experience ; 3 - Authorized as personal recollection; question understandable to the witness
7/11/2019	197	14	198	12	Response: 1 - DEA understanding and practice; 2 - Personal experience ; 3 - Authorized as personal recollection and/or 4 - No authorization required; question understandable to the witness
7/11/2019	203	25	205	11	Response: 1 - DEA understanding and practice; 2 - Personal experience ; 3 - Authorized as personal recollection; question understandable to the witness
7/11/2019	208	11	210	16	Response: 1 - DEA understanding and practice; 2 - Personal experience ; 3 - Authorized as personal recollection and/or 4 - No authorization required; question understandable to the witness
7/11/2019	214	10	214	17	Response: 1 - DEA understanding and practice; 2 - Personal experience ; 3 - Authorized; question understandable to the witness
7/11/2019	215	16	216	2	Response: 1 - DEA understanding and practice; 2 - Personal experience ; 5 - Perspective and common understanding; question understandable to the witness
7/11/2019	216	10	217	1	Response: 1 - DEA understanding and practice; 2 - Personal experience ; 3 - Authorized as personal recollection; question understandable to the witness
7/11/2019	218	7	218	23	Response: 1 - DEA understanding and practice; 2 - Personal experience ; 3 - Authorized; question understandable to the witness
7/11/2019	219	22	220	5	Response: 1 - DEA understanding and practice; 2 - Personal experience ; 3 - Authorized; question understandable to the witness
7/11/2019	221	13	221	21	Response: 1 - DEA understanding and practice; 2 - Personal experience ; 3 - Authorized; question understandable to the witness
7/11/2019	222	16	224	24	Response: 1 - DEA understanding and practice; 2 - Personal experience ; 3 - Authorized as personal recollection and/or 4 - No authorization required; question understandable to the witness

RESPONSES FOR MICHAEL MAPES					
	Begin Page at	Begin Line at	End Page at	End Line at	NOTES
7/11/2019	224	21	227	2	Response: 1 - DEA understanding and practice; 2 - Personal experience ; 3 - Authorized as personal recollection and/or 4 - No authorization required; question understandable to the witness
7/11/2019	227	3	232	20	Response: 1 - DEA understanding and practice; 2 - Personal experience ; 3 - Authorized and/or 4 - No authorization required; question understandable to the witness
7/11/2019	235	21	236	4	Response: 1 - DEA understanding and practice; 2 - Personal experience ; 5 - Perspective and understanding; question understandable to the witness
7/11/2019	239	2	239	16	Response: 1 - DEA understanding and practice; 2 - Personal experience ; 3 - Authorized as personal recollection and/or 4 - No authorization required; question understandable to the witness
7/11/2019	240	6	240	16	Response: 1 - DEA understanding and practice; 2 - Personal experience ; 3 - Authorized as personal recollection and/or 4 - No authorization required; question understandable to the witness
7/11/2019	240	18	248	15	Response: 1 - DEA understanding and practice; 2 - Personal experience ; 3 - Authorized as personal recollection and/or 4 - No authorization required; 5 - Perspective and common understanding; question understandable to the witness
7/11/2019	249	11	250	10	Response: 1 - DEA understanding and practice; 2 - Personal experience ; 3 - Authorized as personal recollection and/or 4 - No authorization required; question understandable to the witness
7/11/2019	265	10	266	20	Response: 1 - DEA understanding and practice; 2 - Personal experience ; 3 - Authorized as personal recollection and/or 4 - No authorization required; question understandable to the witness
7/11/2019	267	2	268	1	Response: 1 - DEA understanding and practice; 2 - Personal experience ; 3 - Authorized as personal recollection; question understandable to the witness
7/11/2019	268	14	268	19	Response: 1 - DEA understanding and practice; 2 - Personal experience ; 3 - Authorized; question understandable to the witness
7/11/2019	276	2	276	22	Response: 1 - DEA understanding and practice; 2 - Personal experience ; 3 - Authorized; question understandable to the witness
7/11/2019	277	24	278	11	Response: 1 - DEA understanding and practice; 2 - Personal experience ; 3 - Authorized as personal recollection and/or 4 - No authorization required; question understandable to the witness
7/11/2019	280	13	281	1	Response: 1 - DEA understanding and practice; 2 - Personal experience ; 3 - Authorized as personal recollection; question understandable to the witness
7/11/2019	283	9	283	18	Response: 1 - DEA understanding and practice; 2 - Personal experience ; 3 - Authorized as personal recollection and/or 4 - No authorization required; question understandable to the witness
7/11/2019	283	20	284	3	Response: 1 - DEA understanding and practice; 2 - Personal experience ; 3 - Authorized as personal recollection and/or 4 - No authorization required; question understandable to the witness
7/12/2019	324	11	324	11	Response: Testimony is not duplicative or cumulative of other designations. Mr. Mapes's specific career path gives him a different perspective on the central issues of this case than other witnesses. In addition, to the extent multiple DEA witnesses testified along similar lines on the issues central to this case, that is relevant to show that those witnesses shared a common understanding of DEA's interpretation and enforcement of the suspicious order monitoring regulation, rather than an outlier view, which is, in turn, relevant to defendants' scienter. (Hereinafter "5 - Unique perspective and common understanding")
7/12/2019	324	12	324	12	Response: Testimony is relevant to DEA's policy on suspicious order monitoring and reporting which are central issues in this case. The Court expressly held that DEA's interpretation and enforcement of the suspicious order monitoring regulation - including "whether DEA investigators view [monthly ILRs and Excessive Order Reports] as compliant with the CSA," whether DEA accepted certain defendants' reporting as compliant, whether "DEA imposed a no-ship requirement," and whether DEA changed its interpretation of the law are "material facts in dispute that must be resolved by a jury." See Order and Opinion Regarding Plaintiffs' Summary Judgment Motions Addressing the Controlled Substances Act [Dkt. #2483], at 28-29. Testimony is also relevant to scienter, an element of each of plaintiffs' claims and a requirement for punitive damages." (Hereinafter "1 - DEA understanding and practice"). In addition, questions ask for witness's personal understanding of DEA's suspicious order reporting policy and guidance, which he developed during his career at DEA. Witness testified extensively about his personal experience reviewing excessive purchase reports, providing guidance to registrants about DEA's suspicious order monitoring and reporting expectations, and approving registrant's suspicious order monitoring systems. (Hereinafter "2 - Personal experience")

RESPONSES FOR MICHAEL MAPES					
	Begin Page at	Begin Line at	End Page at	End Line at	NOTES
7/12/2019	525	19	530	17	Response: 1 - DEA understanding and practice; 2 - Personal experience; question understandable to the witness; testimony is also covered by DOJ's authorization of witness's testimony regarding "Your general duties in your various positions held in DEA"; "Your personal recollection of your practices and procedures relating to ARCO data and suspicious order reports"; and "Your personal recollection regarding 'DEA's interpretation and enforcement of, and practices related to 21 USC 823 and 21 CFR 1301.74' to the extent covered by the foregoing authorized specific topics" (hereinafter "3 - Authorized as personal recollection); and Touhy authorization is not required for questions relating to information learned outside of witness's employment with DEA (hereinafter "4 - No authorization required").

Response Key for Michael Mapes

1 - DEA understanding and practice (Relevance): Testimony is relevant to DEA's policy on suspicious order monitoring and reporting which are central issues in this case. The Court expressly held that DEA's interpretation and enforcement of the suspicious order monitoring regulation - including ""whether DEA investigators view [monthly ILRs and Excessive Order Reports] as compliant with the CSA,"" whether DEA accepted certain defendants' reporting as compliant, whether ""DEA imposed a no-ship requirement,"" and whether DEA changed its interpretation of the law are ""material facts in dispute that must be resolved by a jury.""¹ See Order and Opinion Regarding Plaintiffs' Summary Judgment Motions Addressing the Controlled Substances Act [Dkt. #2483], at 28-29. Testimony is also relevant to scienter, an element of each of plaintiffs' claims and a requirement for punitive damages."²

2 - Personal experience (Foundation and Speculation): Questions ask for witness's personal understanding of DEA's suspicious order reporting policy and guidance, which he developed during his career at DEA. Witness testified extensively about his personal experience reviewing excessive purchase reports, providing guidance to registrants about DEA's suspicious order monitoring and reporting expectations, and approving registrant's suspicious order monitoring systems.

3 - Authorized as personal recollection (Scope): Testimony is also covered by DOJ's authorization of witness's testimony regarding ""Your general employment history with the DEA;"" ""Your general duties in your various positions held in DEA""; ""Your personal recollection of your communications with DEA registrants about what makes an order 'suspicious' under 21 CFR 1301.74, including communications at distributor initiative meetings and at industry conferences;"" ""Your personal recollection of advice you provided third-parties regarding registrants' obligation to monitor orders placed with third-party registrants, including the use of chargeback data;"" ""Your personal recollection regarding the nature of ARCOS and CSOS data and how registrants submitted the data to DEA historically""; ""Your personal recollection of DEA's general practices and procedures relating to processing and analyzing ARCOS data;"" ""Your personal recollection of any information publicly disclosed by the United States regarding enforcement actions taken by DEA against any Track 1 defendant;"" and ""Your personal recollection regarding 'DEA's interpretation and enforcement of, and practices related to 21 USC 823 and 21 CFR 1301.74' and 'DEA's interpretation, enforcement, and practices regarding the obligation to monitor orders' to the extent covered by the foregoing authorized specific topics."³

4 - No authorization required (Scope): Touhy authorization is not required for questions relating to information learned outside of witness's employment with DEA.

5 - Unique perspective and common understanding (Duplicative / Cumulative): Testimony is not duplicative or cumulative of other designations. Mr. Mapes's specific career path gives him a different perspective on the central issues of this case than other witnesses. In addition, to the extent multiple DEA witnesses testified along similar lines on the issues central to this case, that is relevant to show that those witnesses shared a common understanding of DEA's interpretation

and enforcement of the suspicious order monitoring regulation, rather than an outlier view, which is, in turn, relevant to defendants' scienter.